

The Term • Conspiracy Theorist

Dylan Eleven

Aug 12, 2023 2 min

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION
TO: Chief, Certain Stations and Bases		X INDEX REQUIRED	SEARCHED
FROM: Document Number: 1035-960		SIMPLY QUALIFIED FOR CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM	INDEXED
DATE: Chief, WOODY For FOIA Review on SEP 1976		REF ID: FUL 1966	SEARCHED
<p>C Countering Criticism of the Warren Report</p> <p>ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCE: THIS WAS PASSED TOGETHER WITH THE DRAFT OF THE REPORT ON THE ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY. IT WAS PREPARED BY THE COUNSELOR TO THE CHIEF, CIA POLITICAL INFORMATION SECTION, AND PUBLISHED IN THE COUNSELOR'S "REPORTS" ON THE CASE. IT WAS PREPARED IN RESPONSE TO THE CRITIQUE OF THE COMMISSION'S REPORT ON THE ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY.</p> <p>1. Our Concern. From the day of President Kennedy's assassination on, G.P. [initials] has been concerned about the responsibility for his assassination. Although this was not the case at the time the Commission was appointed at the end of September 1964, various writers have now had time to scan the Commission's published report and documents for new pretexts for questioning, and there has been a new wave of books and articles criticizing the Commission's findings. In many cases, critics have implied that the Commission itself was involved in some kind of conspiracy, and often they have implied that the Commission itself was involved. Presumably as a result of the increasing challenge to the Warren Commission's findings by public opinion, the critics indicate that 60% of the American public did not think that Oswald acted alone, while more than half of those polled thought that the Commission had left some questions unresolved. Doubtless, polls abroad would show similar, or even more adverse, results.</p> <p>2. This trend of opinion is a matter of concern to the U.S. government, including our own. The members of the Warren Commission were naturally chosen for their integrity, experience, and prominence. They represented both major parties, and were drawn from all sections of the country. Just because of the standing of the Commissioners, efforts to impeach their rectitude and wisdom tend to cast doubt on the whole leadership of America's democratic government. There is no question that the man who was said to have benefited, was in some way responsible for the assassination. Immendo of such serious consequences affects not only the individual concerned, but also the whole reputation of the United States. It is therefore of great interest to us to know what role, if any, we played in this conspiracy. Among other facts, we contributed information to the investigation. Conspiracy theories have frequently thrown suspicion on our organization, for example, fallacies that the CIA was involved in the assassination. But our purpose in this dispatch is to provide material for countering and discrediting the claims of the conspiracy theorists, so as to inhibit the circulation of such claims in other countries. Background information is supplied in a classified section, and in a number of unclassified attachments.</p> <p>3. Action. We do not recommend that discussion of the assassination question be initiated where it is not already taking place. There discussion is encouraged among addresses are requested:</p> <p>(RECORDED) X INDEX 9 attachments h/w 1 - SECRET Batt. 6 - Unclassified</p> <p>CS COPY REF ID: 5847 DATE: 4/1/67 CLASSIFICATION: NO FILE NUMBER SEARCHED INDEXED FILED RECORDED INDEXED FILED</p>			

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	SEARCHED
		REF ID: 5847	INDEXED
<p>b. To discuss the publicity problem with liaison and friendly elite contacts (especially politicians and editors), pointing out that the Warren Commission was not the only body to make recommendations to the press, and that the critics are without serious foundation, and that further speculative discussion only plays into the hands of the opposition. Point out also that parts of the Commission's report were not made available to the press, and that the critics, given time to use their influence to discourage unfounded and irresponsible speculation.</p> <p>c. To employ propaganda assets to answer and refute the attacks of the critics. Book reviews and feature articles are particularly appropriate for this purpose. The undersigned advises that to this guidance should prevail, as applicable, that the critics are (1) wedded to theories adopted before the Commission's report was issued, (2) inclined to accept the Commission's theory as hasty and inaccurate in their research, or (3) influenced with their own theories. A strategy may be to single out Spetia's theory for attack, using the attached Picturesque article and Spectator piece for background. The Spectator article is based on an interview with Spetia, and Spetia comes off badly where countered by knowledgeable critics; it is also much more difficult to answer than the other critics. The Spectator article is also more likely to be taken seriously by the public.</p> <p>d. In private or media discussion not directed at any particular writer, or in attacking publications which may be yet forthcoming, the following arguments should be useful:</p> <p>i. No significant new evidence has emerged which the Commission did not consider. The assassination is sometimes compared (e.g., by Joachim Joesten and Bertrand Russell) with the Myra Hindley case; however, while that case, the critics say, involved a single killer, the Warren Commission found that the critics have been convincingly identified, and there is no agreement among the critics. The latter parallel, though an important one might be the kidnapping trial of 1971, in which the kidnapper was identified (Frederick Hobbs, A.J.F. Taylor, Watt) now believe was set by Van der Lubbe on his own initiative, without acting for anyone else. The critics say that the kidnapper was not identified, but the critics, the latter have been more successful in convincing the world that the kidnapper was guilty.</p> <p>ii. Conspiracy theories are often particular times and ignore others. They tend to place more emphasis on the recollections of individual eyewitnesses (which are less reliable and more divergent) -- and hence offer more credibility for critics' theories. The critics' own evidence is often circumstantial. A close examination of the Commission's records will usually show that the conflicting stories of the witnesses are often irreconcilable in context, or were discarded by the Commission for good and sufficient reason.</p> <p>iii. Conspiracy on the large scale often suggested would be impossible to conduct. For example, see the book by Robert Kennedy, Attorney General at the time of the assassination, etc. Note that Robert Kennedy, Attorney General at the time of the assassination, and John F. Kennedy's brother, would be the last men to overlook or condone such a plot. John F. Kennedy's brother, would be the last man to overlook or condone such a plot. Kennedy, as Attorney General, would have been fully aware of the risks involved on the part of Chief Justice Warren. A conspirator moreover would hardly choose to kill the president in the middle of the night, in the middle of the night, in the middle of the night, the route, the speed of the car, the moving target, the risk that the assassin would be discovered. A group of wealthy conspirators could have</p> <p>d. Critics have often been enticed by a form of intellectual pride: they light on some theory and fall in love with it; they also snort at the Commission's report as being too simple, too naive, too obvious, too much like common sense. Actually, the make-up of the Commission and its staff was very much like that of the critics. The critics' theory is not a better theory, or against the latest information of probabilities light conspiracies.</p>			

Dylan Eleven • Truth11.com

The term conspiracy theorist was originally re-branded by the CIA from being a part of a process into an all encompassing term. A term that describes a person who has a theory of a conspiracy; but makes it seem that they cannot and will not ever be able to prove it.

Quite the feat of psychological warfare.

The psyop was very successful in creating a knee jerk manufactured response given by the sheep to anyone questioning the official narrative of the JFK assassination every event since.

Subscribe

The term **conspiracy** means:



The process:

- Before the event
- The event
- An analysis of the event and all information before and after the event.
- If the event narrative does not ring true, and people, actions and events suggest there may have been a prior arrangement to commit all steps in the event, past present and future. The **theory** is now established there may be a **conspiracy** in place.
- Then you investigate to find evidence of the conspiracy.

See we have moved on already from the theory part, it is only a part of the process.

- Once evidence is found, the conspiracy has been proven. It is no longer a conspiracy theory, it is a conspiracy fact.

This next step is what the imagery psyop around the term conspiracy theorist suggests that we don't take. But we do.

Many think because they can brand us with the brush of conspiracy theorist that they don't have to acknowledge any proof we provide. An act of ignorance.

This is what the so called fact checkers have to say about my work on Truth11.com

Truth11 is a conspiracy and pseudoscience website that covers many unproven conspiracies, such as Covid vaccines being used to depopulate the world via a "global project to inject humanity with gene-altering death-dealing technology." There is no evidence that Covid vaccines are designed to depopulate. Other examples are that radiation from 5G technology, not Covid, is killing people. None of these claims are backed by science. - **MediaBiasFactCheck**

Reality and proven truth backs up our reporting. Fact checking using a false narrative is simply labelling the truth a lie. Quite pathetic.

I am a journalist that focuses on the truth. The theory of a conspiracy is just a step in the process of finding out the truth. The majority of information reported on Truth11.com is way past the theory stage. Yet most assume its is all theory and no fact. They choose to remain ignorant of the truth to stay in their bubble, and use a pyop term coined in the 70's as their justification.

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO: Chiefs, Certain Stations and Bases		X	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO: Document Number	1035-960		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM:			ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT: Chief, WOVIEW	for FOIA Review on SEP 1976	CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM	
Release In Full 1996			
<p>Action Required - References</p> <p>PAUL H. OSMOND THIS WAS DULLED TOGETHER AT NED BENNETT OF CIA STAFF PSYCH FILE! "FILE!" AND SO ON. MATERIAL PASSED SHOULD THE THEMES, AND PREVIEW GENERAL, "EXECUTIVE" ON THE CASE, THE SPECTATOR ARTICLE WAS WRITTEN BY BENNETT" G.E. DOB 1. Our Concern. From the day of President Kennedy's assassination on, G.E. DOB there has been speculation about the responsibility for his murder. Although this was stemmed for a time by the Warren Commission report (which appeared at the end of September 1964), various writers have now had time to scan the Commission's published report and documents for new pretexts for questioning, and there has been a new wave of books and articles criticizing the Commission's findings. In most cases the critics have speculated as to the existence of some kind of conspiracy, and often they have implied that the Commission itself was involved. Presumably as a result of the increasing challenge to the Warren Commission's Report, a public opinion poll recently indicated that 46% of the American public did not think that Oswald acted alone, while more than half of those polled thought that the Commission had left some questions unresolved. Doubtless polls abroad would show similar, or possibly more adverse, results.</p> <p>2. This trend of opinion is a matter of concern to the U.S. government, including our organization. The members of the Warren Commission were naturally chosen for their integrity, experience, and prominence. They represented both major parties, and they and their staff were deliberately drawn from all sections of the country. Just because of the standing of the Commissioners, efforts to impugn their rectitude and wisdom tend to cast doubt on the whole leadership of American society. Moreover, there seems to be an increasing tendency to hint that President Johnson himself, as the one person who might be said to have benefited, was in some way responsible for the assassination. Innuendo of such seriousness affects not only the individual concerned, but also the whole reputation of the American government. Our organization itself is directly involved: among other facts, we contributed information to the investigation. Conspiracy theories have frequently thrown suspicion on our organization, for example by falsely alleging that Lee Harvey Oswald worked for us. The aim of this dispatch is to provide material for countering and discrediting the claims of the conspiracy theorists, so as to inhibit the circulation of such claims in other countries. Background information is supplied in a classified section and in a number of unclassified attachments.</p> <p>3. Action. We do not recommend that discussion of the assassination question be initiated where it is not already taking place. Where discussion is active however, addressees are requested:</p> <p style="text-align: right;">201-2892478</p>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INDEX <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABSTRACT 9 attachments h/w 1 - SECRET <i>Scatt.</i> 8 - Unclassified		<small>DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER</small> ED 5847	<small>DATE</small> 4/1/67
		<small>CLASSIFICATION</small> SECRET	<small>HQS FILE NUMBER</small> DESTROY WHEN NO LONGER NEEDED

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	JSPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER ED 5847
<p>a. To discuss the publicity problem with liaison and friendly elite contacts (especially politicians and editors), pointing out that the Warren Commission made a thorough and investigation as complete as possible, that the charges of the critics are without serious foundation, and that further speculative discussion only plays into the hands of the opposition. Point out also that parts of the conspiracy talk appear to be deliberately generated by Communist propagandists. Urge them to use their influence to discourage unfounded and irresponsible speculation.</p> <p>b. To employ propaganda assets to answer and refute the attacks of the critics. Book reviews and feature articles are particularly appropriate for this purpose. The unclassified attachments to this guidance should provide useful background material for passage to assets. Our play should point out, as applicable, that the critics are (i) wedded to theories adopted before the evidence was in, (ii) politically interested, (iii) financially interested, (iv) hasty and inaccurate in their research, or (v) infatuated with their own theories. In the course of discussions on the possible place of criticism, a strategy may be to single out Epstein's theory for attack, using the attached Fletcher Kuebler article and Spectator piece for background. (Although Mark Lane's book is much less convincing than Epstein's and comes off badly where contested by knowledgeable critics, it is also much more difficult to answer as a whole, and one becomes lost in a morass of unrelated details.)</p> <p>c. In private or media discussion not directed at any particular writer, or in attacking publications which may be yet forthcoming, the following arguments should be useful:</p> <p>a. No significant new evidence has emerged which the Commission did not consider. The assassination is sometimes compared (e.g., by Joachim Joesten and Bertrand Russell) with the Dreyfus case; however, unlike that case, the attacks on the Warren Commission have produced no new evidence, no new culprits have been identified, and no new theories have been proposed. (A better parallel, though an imperfect one, might be with the Reichstag fire of 1933, which some competent historians (Fritz Tobias, A.J.P. Taylor, D.C. Watt) now believe was set by Van der Lubbe on his own initiative, without acting for either Nazis or Communists; the Nazis tried to pin the blame on the Communists, but the latter have been much more successful in convincing the world that the Nazis were to blame.)</p> <p>b. Critics usually overvalue particular items and ignore others. They tend to place more emphasis on the recollections of individual eyewitnesses (which are less reliable and more divergent -- and hence offer more hand-holds for criticism) and less on ballistic, autopsy, and photographic evidence. A close examination of the Commission's records will usually show that the conflicting eyewitness accounts are quoted out of context, or were discarded by the Commission for good and sufficient reason.</p> <p>c. Conspiracy on the large scale often suggested would be impossible to conceal in the United States, esp. since informants could expect to receive large royalties, etc. Note that Robert Kennedy, Attorney General at the time and John F. Kennedy's brother, would be the last man to overlook opportunities to profit from a large-scale political scandal. Congressman Gerald R. Ford would hardly have held his tongue for the sake of the Democratic administration, and Senator Russell would have had every political interest in exposing any misdeeds on the part of Chief Justice Warren. A conspirator moreover would hardly choose a location for a shooting where so much depended on conditions beyond his control: the route, the speed of the cars, the moving target, the risk that the assassin would be discovered. A group of wealthy conspirators could have arranged much more secure conditions.</p> <p>d. Critics have often been enticed by a form of intellectual pride: they light on some theory and fall in love with it; they also scoff at the Commission because it did not always answer every question with a flat decision one way or the other. Actually, the make-up of the Commission and its staff was an excellent safeguard against over-commitment to any one theory, or against the illicit transmission of probabilities into generalities.</p>			
FORM 53a USE PREVIOUS EDITION	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PAGE NO. TWO	
X CONTINUED			

Image: Source

Subscribe to Truth11.com

Receive Articles By Email

 [Subscribe now](#)

[Support Truth11.com • Make A Donation](#)

- [Become A Subscriber](#)

[Armed With The Truth • United We Stand](#)